CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WITCH OF CENTRAL MYRERICE

9 February 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting director of Security

SUBJECT

: Revision of IUID 11/2

REFERENCES

- : a. A/Dir Sec mamo dated 26 January 1960 transmitting DRAFT No. 5
 - b. A/ir See memo dated h February 1960 transmitting addendum to DRAFT No. 5
- 1. Attached is a copy of your IMAFT No. 5 on which I have marked my recommended changes.
- 2. I do not think you need to get into definitions, be they of the intelligence community or of finished intelligence. In case of the former, the governing clause is well put in your parm. 3,

"Any document disseminated or used within . . . or outside the intelligence community and boaring . . . control markings set forth in this Directive shall be carefully controlled by all recipients in strict conformity with the requirements and restrictions of this Directive."

25X1A

Should a definition be found imperative then the distinction suggested by in his memorandum to you dated I February is a sound one.

- 3. The term "finished intelligence" can safely be used as you have done in para. It b. Uses without definition. The important point to be made is after all made in the provise; namely, the special marking is no lenger needed if information is paraphresed, if the originator of it is consealed, as well as, the source, place acquired, and manner of acquisition.
- h. I see no need for the additional "Warning Notice Sensitive Source Information Contained Herein". Protection of sensitive source is an avowed purpose of "Intelligen Control" (see pars. h s).
- 5. The effect of this proposed directive on our operations is difficult to anticipate siren much will depend on how widely the various agencies use the warnings. For example, if the new "NOFORN" stemp were

- 2 -

not confined to reports stamped "NOFORN Continued Control" under the present DCID 11/2, then vastly more clearances would have to be sought from the originating offices before incorporating the substance of a report marked "NOFORN" into a finished report which could be given foreign dissemination.

6. In any event, it would be well to state for the record, whether the revision of the present DIID 11/2 is intended to liberalise or to tighten up present practices.

PAUL A. BOREL Assistant Director Central Reference

Attachment

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

1 - Chief, LD

2 - OAD/CR

AD/CR:PABorel:fjc